# **Component 5: Management**

Indicator 5.1 Institutional governance for monitoring results in line with missions, institutional groups and institutional identity

Types of indicators process

## Standard Criteria

		Operating	Results
	Standard Criteria		NI-
4		Yes	No
1.	Develop a strategic plan based on the SWOT analysis results and the		
	institution's vision and develop it into a financial strategic plan and annual	<b>✓</b>	
	operational plan within the time frame to achieve the results according to		
-	the indicators and goals of the strategic plan.		
2.	Supervising, promoting and supporting every faculty to analyze financial		
	data including unit costs for each program, proportion of expenses for		
	developing students, teachers, personnel, and continuous teaching and	<b>✓</b>	
	learning management in order to analyze the value of program		
	management, efficiency, effectiveness in producing graduates and		
_	opportunities for competition.		
3.	Operate according to the risk management plan, which is the result of		
	analysis and identification of risk factors resulting from external factors or	<b>√</b>	
	uncontrollable factors that affect operations according to the institution's		
	mission and reduce the risk level from the original.		
4.	Manage with 10 principles of good governance that clearly explain		
	operations.	•	
5.	Monitoring, promoting and supporting all units in the institute to	,	
	implement knowledge management according to the system.	<b>~</b>	
6.	Monitoring the performance of the management plan and personnel		
	development plan for academic and support staff.	✓	
7.	Supervise, promote and support all units in the institute to carry out		
	internal quality assurance operations according to the system and	✓	
	mechanisms determined by the institute, including quality control, quality		
	inspection and quality assessment.		

#### Evaluation criteria

score 1	score 2	score 3	score 4	score 5
There is 1 action	There are 2 actions	There are 3-4	There are 5-6	There are 7
taken.	to take.	actions to be	actions to be	actions to be
		taken.	taken.	taken.

#### Performance Results

In the academic year 2022, the university has operating results divided into the following criteria:

the academic year 2022, the university has operating results divided into the following criteria:			
Performance Results	Documentary Evidence		
The university has developed a 5-year development			
plan (academic years 2019-2023) through a	5.1.1.1 5-year		
participatory process of brainstorming by the	university		
subcommittee for the preparation of the university	development plan		
development plan, suggestions from institutional	(2019-2023		
and faculty executives, as well as analysis of the	academic year)		
connection between the surrounding situation and	5.1.1.2 Financial		
national strategic plans, namely the 20-Year National	strategy plan		
Strategic Plan (2018-2037), the 12th National			
Economic and Social Development Plan (2017-2021),	5.1.1.3 Finance		
and the 2nd 15-Year Higher Education Plan	system / SAP-B1		
Framework (2008-2022), together with the results of	system / Budget		
the SWOT Analysis, to be used as information in	system		
determining the direction, strategies and	***Internal Audit		
development objectives of the university. The 5-year			
university development plan (academic years 2019-	5.1.1.4 Budget		
2023) was approved by the university council at its	Report (SAP-B1)		
6/2019 meeting on November 20, 2019, and has			
been used to improve and review the annual action	5.1.1.5 Total income,		
plan and budget. To be a guideline for presenting	expenses and changes in		
the annual budget request, including a process for	total accumulated		
disseminating it to various units of the university at	capital		
the university's annual seminar to be used in			
	Performance Results  The university has developed a 5-year development plan (academic years 2019-2023) through a participatory process of brainstorming by the subcommittee for the preparation of the university development plan, suggestions from institutional and faculty executives, as well as analysis of the connection between the surrounding situation and national strategic plans, namely the 20-Year National Strategic Plan (2018-2037), the 12th National Economic and Social Development Plan (2017-2021), and the 2nd 15-Year Higher Education Plan Framework (2008-2022), together with the results of the SWOT Analysis, to be used as information in determining the direction, strategies and development objectives of the university. The 5-year university development plan (academic years 2019-2023) was approved by the university council at its 6/2019 meeting on November 20, 2019, and has been used to improve and review the annual action plan and budget. To be a guideline for presenting the annual budget request, including a process for disseminating it to various units of the university at		

Criterion	Performance Results	5	Documentary
	preparing the financial strategy plan a	Evidence	
	the annual operational plan so that t		
	. ,		
	implementation of the strategic plan		
	goals set in the four main missions: g		
	production, research, academic service	ces, and the	
	preservation of arts and culture.		
	There is a strategic plan with 5 dim indicators as follows:	nensions and 28	Fiscal Year2022  5.1.1.6 University Order
	Strategic	indicators	No. 061/2557,279/2560
	Strengthen the provision of international education.	5	(Additional)  Audit Committee and
	Create assurance of quality of education management and quality of graduates.	6	University Council Committee No.
	3. Develop academic works and research that are beneficial to the community and society.	5	committee no.
	Develop management and resource systems for maximum results.	5	
	5. Create a good identity and image of the university.	7	
	total	28	

Criterion	Performa	Documentary			
	Ctratagy 1. Ctrangth an inte	reational	aducation		Evidence
	Strategy 1: Strengthen inter	rnational	education	J	
	management.				
			ademic year		
		target	Performan ce by	achieve/ did not	
	Strategic indicators		indicators	achieve	
	1.1.1 The satisfaction level of	> 4.20	4.20	<b>√</b>	
	graduate users with a variety of international courses that				
	meet their needs				
	1.2.1 Percentage of courses	>80%	>90%	<b>✓</b>	
	that are				
	International courses				
	1.2.2 Percentage of international students	20%	85.71	<b>√</b>	
	1.3.1 The level of satisfaction	> 4.20	4.30	<b>√</b>	
	of graduate users towards the				
	English communication ability				
	of graduates  1.3.2 Percentage of bachelor's	>80%	100	<b>─</b> ✓	
	degree graduates who pass	20070	100		
	the university's English				
	proficiency test results				

Criterion	Performance Results				Documentary Evidence
	Strategy 2: Create assur				
	management and quality	y of grad	uates.		
			ademic year 2	2023	
	Strategic indicators	target	Performan ce by indicators	achieve/ did not achieve	
	2.1.1 Percentage of courses that have received OHEC certification	100%	100%	<b>√</b>	
	2.1.2 Percentage of courses have adjusted teaching and learning to be in line with the New Normal era	100%	100%	<b>√</b>	
	2.2.1 The satisfaction level of graduate users according to the university identity	> 4.20	4.30	<b>√</b>	
	2.3.1 Percentage of courses with a ratio of number of teachers to number of students according to OHEC criteria.	100%	100%	<b>√</b>	
	2.3.2 Percentage of full- time faculty holding academic positions	20%	19.08%	x	
	2.4.1 Percentage of learner- focused courses	>90%	100%	<b>√</b>	

Criterion	Performance Results				Documentary Evidence
	Strategy 3: Develop acade	mic woi	rks and	research	
	that are beneficial to the co				
		1	demic year		
	Strategic indicators		Performan		
	Strategic indicators		ce by	did not	
			indicators	achieve	
	3.1.1 Percentage of full-time lecturers with academic works, research works or creative works	>80%	80%	<b>√</b>	
	3.1.2 Ratio of academic articles to number of full-time lecturers	0.5	1.0	<b>√</b>	
	3.2.1 Percentage of academic works, research works or creative works that have been published or disseminated at the national or international level to number of full-time lecturers	>50%	60%	<b>√</b>	
	3.2.2 Percentage of academic works, research works or creative works of Total number of graduate students who have been published or disseminated. Total number of graduate students.	>60%	80%	<b>√</b>	
	Total number of graduate students who have been published or disseminated. Total number of graduate students.	1 /performa nce	2 /performa nce	<b>✓</b>	

Criterion	Performance Results				Documentary Evidence
	Strategy 4: Develop m	nanageme	ent and	resource	LVIGETICE
	systems for maximum res		GG.		
	Systems for maximum res	1	ademic year 2	2023	
		target	Performan		
	Strategic indicators	_	ce by	did not	
			indicators	achieve	
	4.1.1 Stakeholder satisfaction level regarding the management system	> 3.90	3.90	<b>V</b>	
	4.2.1 Student satisfaction level regarding infrastructure and facilities supporting teaching, administration and research.	> 3.90	3.90	✓	
	4.3.1 Percentage of personnel participating in activities for personnel promotion and development organized by external agencies	25%	25%	<b>✓</b>	
	4.3.2 Percentage of personnel participating in activities for personnel promotion and development organized by the university	> 80%	100%	<b>√</b>	
	4.4.1 Personnel satisfaction level with university administration	> 3.90	3.95	<b>√</b>	

Criterion	Performance	Results	S		Documentary Evidence
	Strategy 5: Create a good ide	ntity and	d image f	for the	Evidence
		Titity arm	a irriage i	Of the	
	university.				
			idemic year		
	Strategic indicators	target	Performan		
			ce by	did not	
	5.1.1 The level of satisfaction of	> 4.00	indicators 4.50	achieve	
	graduate users of the university	> 4.20	4.50		
	towards the desired graduate				
	characteristics				
	5.2.1 Number of students,	>25	_	×	
	graduates and personnel who have	123			
	received awards or been honored				
	in various fields from external				
	agencies.				
	5.3.1 Number of projects/activities	2	9	<b>√</b>	
	that provide academic services to	projects	projects		
	society per number of full-time				
	lecturers				
	5.4.1 Number of projects/activities	2	9	<b>✓</b>	
	for the maintenance of arts and	projects	projects		
	culture per number of full-time				
	teachers				
	5.5.1 The number of academic	5	6	<b>√</b>	
	activities organized through	projects	projects		
	cooperation between government				
	and private sector external				
	agencies or people with academic				
	or professional experience.  5.6.1 Number of new agencies with	1 agency	3	<b>✓</b>	
	academic cooperation agreements,	1 agency	3		
	both domestic and international				
	5.6.2 Percentage of graduates	30%	30%	<b>✓</b>	
	working in international	3370	3370		
	organizations or multinational				
	companies or foreign institutions or				
	agencies				
		I	I.	1	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary Evidence
	In preparing the financial strategy plan, it is a system	
	for financial management to achieve maximum	
	efficiency and effectiveness, transparency and	
	auditability. It is also a tool for administrators to	
	monitor the operations according to the university's	
	annual operational plan, consisting of the following	
	processes:	
	1.1 There is a system and mechanism for sourcing	
	funds, allocating financial resources and budgets to	
	support the implementation of the annual action	
	plan, which covers the 4 main missions, including	
	developing a financial resource management system	
	to achieve maximum results by supervising budget	
	planning and spending plans effectively to control	
	income and expenditure appropriately.	
	1.2 There is a modern financial database system	
	with sufficient and complete data that can be used	
	for decision-making by administrators at all levels of	
	the university. The administrators of each unit can	
	use the data to analyze the financial status of the	
	unit, the efficiency and effectiveness of the	
	administration, and use the data to plan and	
	improve the development of the work system	
	effectively.	
	1.3 There is a financial and budget supervision and	
	monitoring system, with systematic preparation of	
	monthly/quarterly/and annual financial reports to	
	be used as information for analyzing the financial	
	status of the university and planning for future	
	budget management. In addition, the financial	
	reports also reflect the efficiency of budget use	
	and performance results according to the action	
	plan to the administrators.	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary Evidence
	There is an internal audit unit of the university and an audit committee that is directly under the university council. Responsible for monitoring and inspecting the budget usage of various agencies to ensure that it is carried out correctly according to accounting principles, systematically, transparently, and to provide useful suggestions for improving operations.	
2. Supervision, monitoring, promotion, and support for all faculties to conduct analysis of data	The university, through the accounting and finance division under the supervision of the administrative office, has the duty to supervise, monitor and analyze financial data consisting of net profit analysis, course costs, faculty costs and support unit costs as detailed in the document summarizing course cost analysis data to be used as information for planning administration at the course and faculty levels of the university by submitting information to the dean and the executive committee for consideration on a monthly basis.	5.1.2.1 Summary report on income, cost, education and expenses for support in the academic year 2022

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
Finance consists of	In addition, the university's top executives monitor the	Evidence
unit costs of each	, ,	
course, proportion of	results of spending to ensure they meet targets and	
expenses for	use information from financial reports in planning and	
developing students,	decision-making by studying financial reports, budget	
teachers, personnel,	spending plans, and monitoring and evaluating budget	
continuous teaching	spending by joining the financial audit committee. In	
and learning	addition, the university's financial status is reported to	
	the university council to provide recommendations	
management, to analyze the value of	and information for decision-making.	
course management,	<u>Financial Strategy</u>	
efficiency,	SWOT Analysis	
effectiveness in		
producing graduates	Strengths	
and opportunities for	The administrators give importance to and	
competition.	support the financial management system.	
competition.	2. The university has the 2008 financial	
	guidelines which have been put into good	
	practice.	
	3. There is a clear budget plan that is consistent	
	with the operational plans for each mission	
	and unit. The budget disbursement is in	
	accordance with the plan, correct, transparent	
	and verifiable.	
	4. There is a 3D accounting system that can link	
	data according to the plans, units and funds.	
	5. There is an efficient financial management system and mechanism. The disbursement	
	documents are complete and correct. The	
	spending plan is reported every month. The	
	administrators can check the results of the	
	spending at any time to use in decision	
	making.	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary Evidence
	Weaknesses  1. There is a manual for internal operations, but service users do not study, do not understand, and cannot follow the manual, causing delays in the document process.  2. University personnel often make incorrect disbursement documents, causing delays in work and lack of continuous potential development.  3. Personnel lack skills in evaluating and supervising work performance, and there is no clear supervision system, causing inadequate and delayed work procedures, affecting relevant agencies.	
	Opportunities  1. There is a system for recording financial information that is correct, complete, and up to date for use in operations.  2. There is a clear and definite university strategy.  3. The liberalization of education allows the university to earn more income from international students.	
	<ul><li>4. The university has scholarships for master's and doctoral degrees.</li><li>5. There is a budget to support training expenses to enable personnel to develop professional knowledge.</li></ul>	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary Evidence
	Threats  1. Due to high competition, universities need to find external agents to help find students, which increases costs.  2. Universities need to keep up with the advancement of technology all the time, which results in high budgets for investment and training.  3. The location of the university is far away, so there are few opportunities to accept Thai students.	
	Note: Financial performance results as presented by the university to the evaluation committee on the	
	evaluation date, the university has a positive proportion of income and is cost-effective for the university's operations.	
	The university has a financial database system that can manage sufficient and complete data for use in decision-making by executives at all levels of the university. Executives of each unit can use the data to analyze the financial status of the unit, efficiency and effectiveness in administration, and use the data to plan improvements and develop work systems effectively.	
	The university has a strong strategic policy to move towards Asian and world-class quality assurance, with 8 strategies as follows:	



Critorio	Doufouse as Douglas	Documentary
Criterion	Performance Results	Evidence
3. Operate according	The university has appointed a risk management	5.1.3.1 Order No.
to the risk	committee to determine the policy, framework and	086/2566 on the
management plan	guidelines for risk management in order to reduce risks	appointment of the
resulting from the	to an acceptable level for the university's executive	Risk Management
analysis and	committee and risk management committee, identify	Working Group
identification of risk	risk factors, assess risks, coordinate with all units in the	
factors resulting from	university to implement risk management measures	5.1.3.2 Risk
external factors or	according to the risk management plan, prepare a risk	Management Plan and
uncontrollable factors	management action plan and set indicators for the	Risk Management
that affect the	success of the plan, monitor the results of the	Measures for Academic
operation according to	implementation in accordance with the risk	Year 2023
the institution's mission and reduce	management action plan, and report the results of the	1 Cdi
the risk level from the	risk management to the institution's executive board. In	5.1.3.3 Report on the
original.	addition, during the academic year 2023, the university	Results of Operations
0.13.114.1	has prepared a risk management plan that combines risk	in Accordance with the
	management and reduction measures with the plans of	Risk Management Plan
	each unit. The results of the implementation of the	for Academic Year
	annual action plan and the risk management plan are	2023
	monitored by evaluating and analyzing risks after	2023
	implementing the specified measures. The remaining risk	
	assessment results are the risk of academic personnel,	
	lack of lecturers holding academic positions, and lack of	
	personnel with work experience. Therefore, the risk	
	management measures are to recruit lecturers for the	
	curriculum who hold academic positions and encourage	
	lecturers to accelerate academic work to apply for	
	academic positions. As for the support staff, recruit	
	personnel with expertise and experience working in	
	academic fields, foreign language skills such as English	
	and Chinese, for the human resources unit to recruit.	
	and report the results of the operations to the Executive	
	Committee and report the results of the risk	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
	management operations to the University Council for	Evidence
	acknowledgement	
	ackilowledgement	
4. Manage 10	The university president and executives administer and	5.1.4.1 Meeting
principles of good	operate in accordance with the 10 principles of good	Schedule for the Year
governance that	governance as follows:	2022-2023
clearly explain the	1. Effectiveness: The university council and executives	
operations.	set policies and supervise and monitor the performance	5.1.4.2 Academic
	of the action plan, including discussions to establish	Committee Meeting
	guidelines for solving problems, obstacles, and	Report
	continuously develop the university's operations	Year 2023-2024
	through various committee meetings, including the	
	university council meeting, the executive committee,	5.1.4.3 Report
	the academic committee at the undergraduate and	Summary of Evaluation
	graduate levels, and the meeting of administrative and	Results Satisfaction
	academic support units, etc. The meeting agenda is set	with Quality of
	in advance to supervise and monitor the results of	Services of Shinawatra
	operations continuously and comprehensively	University for
	throughout the organization.	Academic Year 2023
	2. Efficiency principle: The university has a policy to use	
	resources together effectively among units. The	5.1.4.4 Turnitin Capture
	executives have good supervision. They have designed	Screen Training Project
	work processes, followed up on the value of	3 3,000
	activities/projects of each related mission by using	5.1.4.5 Report
	resources to the greatest benefit, and reviewed and	Academic Service
	improved the management system in various aspects to	Project of the
	respond to the work with full efficiency, such as:	University for
	Organizing an energy conservation project within the	Academic Year 2022
	university to campaign for staff and students to jointly	ACCIONE TOUR ZUZZ
	use energy efficiently, etc. to promote resource saving	5.1.4.6 University Order
	and energy and environmental conservation. Shinawatra	ŕ
	University has continuously implemented the Green	to Appoint the Hiring
	, ,	and Acceptance

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
enterior:		Evidence
	University project.	Committee for Various
	The university has improved the student admissions	Projects for Academic
	system and increased the channels for admissions and	Year 2022
	the network of student admission representatives	
	abroad, resulting in an increase in the overall number of	5.1.4.7 Appointment of
	students, especially international students.	External Auditors for
	Improving the dormitory management system to	Academic Year 2021
	provide more efficient services and enhance the quality	
	of life of students in many areas, such as organizing a	5.1.4.8 Shinawatra
	maintenance check system to check the readiness of	University Order on
	the rooms to provide efficient services, having a	the Appointment of
	monthly dormitory inspection system to take care of	the Student Affairs
	the living conditions of students, and improving vacant	Committee
	rooms in the dormitory to be study rooms for students.	
	3. Responsiveness principle: The university has a policy	5.1.4.9 Shinawatra
	to improve the quality of teaching management in	University Executive
	various aspects by improving the quality of service. By	Committee (EC)
	using the satisfaction survey results of students and	Meeting Report 2023 -
	service recipients in various aspects to consider in	2024
	planning the development and improvement of services	
	in various aspects, both in terms of teaching and	5.1.4.10 Order No. 075
	improving support for teaching continuously every year.	/ 2016 on the
	In the academic year 2023, there are actions to respond	Appointment of the
	to various aspects, including:	Provident Fund
	- Organizing an onsite teaching system so that students	Committee (effective
	can come to study as usual.	until present)
	- Improve the use of places and student services	
	before the opening of teaching and learning in the	5.1.4.11 Order No. 238
	academic year 2023	/ 2016 on the
	- Install a program to check for plagiarism in	Appointment of the
	research by lecturers and students in order to	Work Protection
	maintain research standards	WOIN I TOLECTION

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
	4. Accountability: The university has organized	Evidence Committee
	activities that benefit the public and demonstrate its	(effective until present)
	sense of responsibility towards the public by	(effective unit present)
	organizing various activities in terms of health,	5.1.4.12 Picture of the
	hygiene and quality of life of the university's	
	personnel and students. There is an annual health	prayer room on the 4th floor of the
	check-up for personnel to maintain the health and	Integrated Academic
	hygiene of personnel continuously.	
	5. Transparency: The university, by the president	Building and the Sports
	and executives, has a policy of management based	Building
	on the principle of transparency in order to comply	E 1 / 12 Drovinion of
	with the principles of good governance throughout	5.1.4.13 Provision of
	the organization, including appointing a committee	ramps, restrooms, and
	for hiring and accepting various works according to	parking for the
	the university's procurement regulations, with	disabled and
	representatives from various agencies joining the	handicapped
	committee for transparency and accountability,	5.1.4.14 Annual Health
	including having an internal and external audit	Checkup Project for
	system from a licensed auditor every year.	Employees
	6. Participation: The university organizes off-site	Employees
	seminars to allow executives, lecturers and staff at	E 1 4 1 E Eve cutive
	all levels to participate in discussions and exchange	5.1.4.15 Executive
	ideas. Regarding the university's development	Committee Meeting Report Shinawatra
	guidelines, every year, the university also provides	University (Executive
	opportunities for students to participate in the	Committee: EC) 2023 –
	university's operations in related areas, such as by	2024
	appointing the Shinawatra University Student	2024
	Council Executive Committee, with student	
	representatives from various faculties joining the	
	committee through an election process and working	
	under the Student Affairs Committee.	
	7. Decentralization Principle: The university	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
	precident and everytives have a policy to support	Evidence
	president and executives have a policy to support	
	lecturers and personnel in the university to	
	participate in management by organizing an	
	Executive Committee (EC) meeting to listen to	
	opinions and consider planning various aspects of	
	the university's management, including delegating	
	decision-making authority to executives in various areas.	
	8. Rule of Law: The university manages based on	
	the rule of law to take into account the benefits of	
	personnel who perform duties for the university,	
	including providing additional welfare for	
	employees, such as establishing a Shinawatra	
	University Employment Protection Committee,	
	which complies with the Ministerial Regulation on	
	the Protection of Employment and Benefits of	
	Personnel in Private Higher Education Institutions	
	B.E. 2549, by selecting representatives of academic	
	and operational staff, including management in	
	accordance with the laws and regulations of	
	relevant agencies in terms of academics,	
	administration, and service to Students and	
	personnel in accordance with the standards set by	
	law, including the implementation to comply with	
	the Ministerial Regulation on the Standards of	
	Curriculum, Dormitory Management in accordance	
	with the Dormitory Act in Educational Institutions,	
	etc.	
	9. Principle of Equity: The university treats and	
	provides services to students and personnel equally	
	without discrimination based on race, religion,	
	language, gender or disability. All students and	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
Criterion	Performance Results	Evidence
	personnel of the university can access services and	
	receive welfare in various aspects of the university	
	equally and universally, such as having a prayer	
	room for Muslims, facilities for the disabled (ramp,	
	elevator and bathroom), etc.	
	10. Principle of Consensus Orientation: The president	
	and executives use the principle of consensus in	
	various meetings of the university by giving	
	opportunities for representatives from all units in the	
	university to present their opinions and reach a	
	common resolution based on the principle of	
	consensus, respecting the majority vote and listening	
	to suggestions and opinions from all opinions.	
5. Supervision,	The university has monitored, promoted, and supported	5.1.5.1 Order No.
monitoring, promotion,	the implementation of knowledge management	295/2017 on the
and support for all	according to the system. Knowledge analysis from	Appointment of the
units in the institution	Knowledge Mapping was conducted to find outstanding	Executive Committee
to manage knowledge	features that are examples and opportunities for work	for Research and
according to the	development according to the university's mission,	Knowledge
system	especially in urgent matters that are beneficial to	Management at the
	students, faculty, and staff. In the academic year 2023,	Institutional Level of
1. Knowledge	the university has determined knowledge management	Shinawatra University
management in teaching and learning	issues that are continuous from the previous academic	
2. Knowledge	year and are issues that require urgent action in order to	5.1.5.2
management in	respond to the situation this year, as follows:	
research		5.1.5.3 OBE (Outcome-
2.1 Development of	Academic	Based Education)
work to enter	Knowledge management in teaching and learning	Training: Curriculum
academic positions	The university, together with the faculty, has determined	Improvement to
	knowledge issues to support the implementation of the	Comply with
	university's and the faculty's main missions, which focus	Outcome-Based
	on producing graduates with knowledge, skills, and	Education (OBE)

Criterian	Doufe we are Double	Documentary
Criterion	Performance Results	Evidence
	research skills. Therefore, knowledge issues have been	Guidelines
	determined according to the teaching and learning	
	development plan, graduate production, by	5.1.5.5 Thaijo System
	implementing the project	Database Training
	1.1 OBE (Outcome-Based Education) training project,	Project for Shinawatra
	improving the curriculum to be in line with the	University Academic
	Outcome-Based Education (OBE) guidelines, with	Journal Production
	lecturers from all faculties participating in the training,	
	allowing all parties to share their opinions. The summary	
	of important issues found that it was necessary to	
	develop teaching and learning management according	
	to the OBE (Outcome-Based Education) model, which	
	focuses on designing a curriculum based on learning	
	that is expected to be achieved by learners when they	
	Completed the OBE curriculum in accordance with the	
	curriculum.	
	The process and profit district from the fig.  Assure the process of the process of the fig.  Assure the process of the process of the fig.  Assure the process of the process of the fig.  Assure the process of the process of the fig.  Assure the process of the process of the fig.  Assure the process of th	
	2. Research knowledge management	
	2.1 Development of work to enter academic positions	
	- Project: Using the Thaijo database system to create	
	journals on June 13, 2024, with external speakers: Dr. Sip	
	Narong Kanjanawongpaisan, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat	
	University and internal speakers: Dr. Sitthi Chaimanee,	
	Dean of the Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Dr.	
	Pradit Songsaengyot and Dr. Suphakan Mangkornsukarn,	
	as internal speakers from Shinawatra University to	

# Documentary Criterion Performance Results Evidence provide knowledge on using the database system to create journals. The participants included lecturers and staff who participated in the workshop. There was a knowledge measurement before and after the training. The evaluation results before the training were 45 percent. After the training, the evaluation results were 83.33 percent. The personnel used the knowledge to create academic journals of the university, including academic journals in humanities and social sciences, interdisciplinary journals of Shinawatra University. Recent Comments Archives Categories Meta SHINAWATRA Successful Training Workshop on ThaiJo Datab Shinawatra University Recent Posts Successful Training Workshop on ThaiJo Database System at Shinawatra University Recent Posts Comments

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
	And there is a training on how to publish research by	Evidence
	managing knowledge on publishing at national and	
	international levels by Assoc. Prof. Dr. Thanakorn	
	Thanathar Chuchoti, Dr. Bijay Sidel, Dr. Saran	
	Phochanchan and Dr. Pensri Bangbon who are the	
	lecturers to train in publishing research results in the	
	Thailo system.	
	Thabo system.	
	_	
	Çici milik mind anını Vecer Mazetreş	
	and miles of the committee of the commit	
	Constitution of the control of the c	
	The state of the s	
	Comment the care of the first of the comment of the	
	Way of Markening (in Mellin Marken)  and Control Contr	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
		Evidence
6. Supervision and	Monitoring the performance according to the	5.1.6.1 Terms of
monitoring of the	administrative plan and development plan for academic	Reference
results of operations	and support personnel	(TOR) for Faculty
according to the	The university monitors the performance according to	
management plan and	the administrative plan and development plan for	5.1.6.2 Academic
development plan for	academic and support personnel, with details as	Manual
academic and support	follows:	
personnel.	1. The performance of personnel is evaluated once a	5.1.6.3 Staff Manual
	year, with the university administrators supervising and	
	monitoring the performance.	5.1.6.4 Code of
	- For faculty, comply with the Terms of Reference (TOR)	Conduct
	specified by the university and included in the	
	university's Academic Manual as a guideline for all	5.1.6.5 University
	faculty members, covering teaching, research and	Announcement on
	publication, academic work, administrative work, and	Guidelines for
	others.	Research Grants
	- For administrative and operational staff, comply with	nescaren dianes
	the manual of personnel management regulations,	5.1.6.6 University
	announcements on employee discipline, comply with	Announcement on
	the unit's KPIs, and have all units update their Standard	Management of
	Operation Procedures (SOPs) for each task to be current	Research Grants from
	so that the organization operates correctly and in the	External Sources 2018
	same direction. Clearly specify the operating steps and	Externat Sources 2016
	can be improved and developed as appropriate for	E 1 6 7 University
	each unit and organization to achieve practical results.	5.1.6.7 University
	In this regard, faculty members, administrative and	Announcement on
	operational staff must comply with the manual. Staff	Determination of
	Manual and Code of Conduct of the University to	Academic Position
	ensure that personnel management is in accordance	Salary Rates
	with the system	F 1 6 0 App
	It was found that lecturers and administrative and	5.1.6.8 Announcement
	operational staff performed their duties in accordance	of the University on

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
Citteriori	r errormance nesucts	Evidence
	with the staff manual and the university's code of	the determination of
	conduct very well. The Human Resources Unit	the duties of
	monitored the performance of lecturers and	permanent lecturers
	administrative and operational staff.	holding academic
		positions in 2019
	2. The University has supported staff to develop their	
	knowledge and skills as follows:	5.1.6.9 Personnel
	- Support research funding for lecturers by issuing an	Management and
	additional announcement on the management of	Development Plan for
	research funding from external sources in 2018	the Academic Year
	- Support requesting academic positions by having an	2022
	agency responsible for overseeing and inspecting the	
	qualifications of those requesting academic positions,	5.1.6.10 Document
	organizing a meeting of the position consideration	form Summary report
	committee, and improving	of personnel training
	The database of academic position holders in the	and seminars in 2022
	database of the Office of the Higher Education	
	Commission organizes activities to promote entry into	5.1.6.11
	academic positions, determines the missions of	Training/Seminar
	permanent lecturers who hold academic positions in	report form
	2019 to continuously develop academic works and	
	knowledge, and also promotes the production of	
	academic works to enter higher academic positions.	
	- Support permanent lecturers to study in doctoral	
	programs to increase their educational qualifications and	
	research potential. In the academic year 2022, there are	
	3 lecturers who have been approved for all studies who	
	are studying at the university's graduate level.	
	- Participate in meetings and present academic works	
	and have a policy to encourage lecturers to take leave	
	to work to increase knowledge, write textbooks or	
	conduct research, and develop teaching and learning	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
Citerion		Evidence
	innovations.	
	- Training to develop professional skills	
	- Support support personnel to continue their studies at	
	the graduate level.	
	- Support support personnel to attend training, seminars,	
	various projects to further develop their knowledge and	
	skills, and supervise employees to submit reports	
	summarizing the main points of their participation in	
	training, the benefits received, and their application to	
	their duties, by submitting them to their supervisors for	
	consideration and monitoring.	
	Results from the university supporting lecturers to	
	continue their studies And doing research to present	
	academic works It was found that there were more	
	lecturers who requested scholarships to continue their	
	doctoral studies, doing research and presenting	
	academic works.	
	3. Personnel welfare development plans, such as	
	- Annual health check-up project 2022 to ensure that	
	employees are healthy, know their physical condition	
	and find ways to prevent and treat it.	
	- MRU Seminar 2023 project to inform personnel of the	
	university's annual policies and strategies and to	
	strengthen good relationships between executives,	
	lecturers and staff, and to strengthen unity and	
	teamwork. The university organized seminars in the	
	provinces at the end of the year to give employees the	
	opportunity to relax, travel to various places and have	
	New Year's parties together, along with giving out prizes.	
	The results of the university's annual health check-up	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
		Evidence
	welfare in 2022 found that lecturers and university staff	
	were aware of their health and physical condition and	
	had gone to the doctor to	
	have their own health check-ups thoroughly to prevent	
	and find ways to maintain their health in the future.	
7. Supervise, monitor,	The university has implemented the supervision,	5.1.7.1 Announcement
promote and support	monitoring, promotion and support of internal quality	of Shinawatra
all units in the	assurance operations according to the education quality	University No.
institution to operate	assurance system as follows:	049/2566 on the
internal quality	1. Determining the system and mechanisms for	appointment of the
assurance according to	education quality assurance. The university uses the	Shinawatra University
the system and	education quality assurance system of the Higher	Education Quality
mechanisms specified	Education Commission by establishing various	Management
by the institution,	committees to supervise various operations to comply	Committee
including quality	with the specified quality standards, including the	
control, quality	Education Quality Management Committee, the	5.1.7.2 Order No.
inspection and quality	Education Quality Assurance Working Group, and the	183/2566 on the
assessment.	Working Group for Preparing the Results of Operations	appointment of a
1. Determine the	According to the University's Institutional Internal	working group to
system and	Education Quality Assurance Indicators.	prepare the results of
mechanisms for	Adjusting the quality assurance operations to be a	operations according
education quality	part of the university's normal administration.	to the indicators of
assurance.	The university has established an education quality	
		internal education
2. Adjust quality	assurance system as part of the process of education	quality assurance at
assurance operations	management, research, academic services to society,	the Shinawatra
to be part of normal	and the preservation of arts and culture that are	University
university	consistent with the operations of the main mission.	
administration.	Therefore, education quality assurance is linked and	5.1.7.3 Order to
2.1 Quality control	consistent with the 2022 action plan, and has a quality	appoint the internal
2.2 Quality inspection	control system, quality inspection, and quality	quality assessment
2.3 Quality assessment	assessment system as follows:	committee at the
		curriculum, faculty and

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
Citeriori	renormance nesutts	Evidence
	2.1 Quality control	institutional levels for
	The university has quality control in the administration	the academic year
	of the main mission through the university	2022
	administration structure management system, with	
	various committees responsible for supervising the	
	quality standards of operations in each area as follows:	
	Executive Committee, Academic Committee, Student	
	Affairs Committee, Audit Committee, Risk Management	
	Committee, and Student Dormitory Management	
	Committee. Which is responsible for controlling,	
	supervising, monitoring and evaluating the performance	
	in the relevant areas through joint meetings.	
	2.2 Quality Assurance	
	The university has assigned the Academic Affairs Office	
	to coordinate and inspect the performance of the	
	curriculum and faculties in the areas related to the	
	curriculum standard criteria and academic regulations of	
	the university to report to the Academic Committee and	
	the Executive Committee regularly every month,	
	including monitoring and inspecting the results of the	
	consideration of the curriculum according to the criteria	
	and standards, checking the qualifications of applicants	
	for the position of permanent lecturers in the	
	curriculum to be used as information for consideration	
	of the contract of employment as notified by the	
	Human Resources Department, monitoring and	
	inspecting the quality of teaching management to be	
	ready for internal and external quality assessment,	
	including assessment for consideration of the	
	certification of academic status at the curriculum and	
	university levels, etc.	
	2.3 Quality Assessment /	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary Evidence
	The university has a system for internal quality	
	assessment of education as part of the management of	
	education at the curriculum, faculty and institutional	
	levels every year to reflect the quality of the operations	
	and to develop and improve the quality of operations in	
	various aspects for the better.	

## Self-evaluation of performance results

Indicator	Unit of measurement	target	Performance Results	Score obtained
Governance of the Institute for Governance, Monitoring Results in	Point	5 Point	7 Point	5
Accordance with Mission, Group, Institution and Institutional Identity				score

Evaluation agains	st targets	
$\checkmark$	Achieve goals	Failure to achieve target

#### Indicator 5.2 The results of the administration of the faculty

Types of indicators result

**Evaluation criteria** Average scores of faculty-level assessment results of all faculties

Score obtained = Average scores of faculty-level assessment results of all faculties

Total number of faculties in the institute

note:

Faculty that has implemented other quality systems that the Internal Quality Assurance Committee in Higher Education has approved and has been evaluated according to such systems does not have to include the evaluation scores of that faculty in the calculation of this indicator but must report the results in this indicator in full.

#### Performance Results

In the academic year 2023, the university has the following results in terms of administrative operations of the various faculties:

At	Faculty	Evaluation results		
<i>/</i> \t		score	Quality level	
1	Faculty of Management	4.42	Good	
2	Faculty of Liberal Arts	4.59	Very good	
3	Faculty of Nursing	4.51	Very good	
4	Institute of Arts and Philosophy	3.27	Good	
5	Faculty of Education	3.91	Good	
6	Faculty of Public Administration and Law	3.75	Good	

In measuring the quality level from the results of the internal educational quality assessment at the faculty level, the details are as follows:

Average score	Quality Level Meter
0.00 – 1.50	Urgently need improvement in operations
1.51 – 2.50	Operations need improvement
2.51 - 3.50	Fair operation
3.51 – 4.50	Good operation
4.51 - 5.00	Very good operation

#### Self-evaluation of performance results

Indicator	Unit of measurement	target	Performance Results	Score obtained
The results of the	average	3.51 score	4.07 score	4.07 score
administration of the faculty				

Evaluation against ta	argets	
$\checkmark$	Achieve goals	Failure to achieve target

#### List of reference documents for indicator 5.2

Document	Document List Name
number	
5.2.1	Internal Quality Assessment Report, Faculty Level, Faculty of Management
5.2.2	Internal Quality Assessment Report, Faculty Level, Faculty of Liberal Arts
5.2.3	Internal Quality Assessment Report, Faculty Level, Faculty of Nursing
5.2.4	Internal Quality Assessment Report, Faculty Level, Faculty of Education
5.2.5	Internal Quality Assessment Report, Faculty Level, Institute of Arts and
	Philosophy
5.2.6	Internal Quality Assessment Report, Faculty Level, Faculty of Public
	Administration and Law

# Indicator 5.3 Curriculum and Faculty Quality Assurance Governance System

Types of indicators process

#### Standard Criteria

	Standard Criteria	Perform Results	ance
		Yes	No
1.	There is a system and mechanism to monitor the implementation of curriculum and faculty quality assurance to ensure that it complies with curriculum and faculty quality assurance components.	✓	
2.	There is a committee to monitor operations to ensure compliance with the system specified in Section 1 and report monitoring results to the institutional committee for consideration.	✓	
3.	Resources are allocated to support the operations of the curriculum and faculty to achieve results in line with the curriculum and faculty quality assurance components.	✓	
4.	Submit the quality assessment results of all courses and faculties that have been reviewed by the institutional committee to the Institute Council for consideration.	✓	
5.	Use the evaluation results and suggestions from the Institute Council to continuously improve the quality of the curriculum and faculty operations.	✓	
6.	All courses have quality assessment results that pass Component 1, Standards Supervision.	✓	

#### Evaluation criteria

score 1	score 2	score 2 score 3		score 5
There is 1	There are 2	There are 3-4	There are 5	There are 6
action taken.	actions to	actions to be	actions to	actions to
	take.	taken.	take.	take.

## Performance Results

In the academic year 2022, the university has operating results divided into the following criteria:

Criterion	Performa	Documentary Evidence	
1. There is a system	The university has a syste	5.3.1.1 Announcement	
and mechanism to	monitor the quality assura	ance operations of the	of Shinawatra
monitor the	curriculum and faculty to	be in accordance with the	University No. 049/2566
implementation of	quality assurance standar	ds and in line with the	on the appointment of
the quality	internal education quality	assurance manual of the	the Shinawatra
assurance of the	Higher Education Commis	sion as follows:	University Education
curriculum and	System	mechanism	Quality Management
faculty to ensure that it complies with	1.1 Establish internal education quality assurance	Quality Management Committee	Committee
the curriculum and	policies  1.2 Establish annual operational plans	Faculty and Unit	5.3.1.2 Order No. 183/2566 on the
faculty quality assurance components.	1.3 Conduct and self-assess at the curriculum level	Responsible Professor/Responsible Person for the Curriculum/Curriculum Management Committee	appointment of the Shinawatra University Education Quality Assurance Working
	1.4 Conduct and self-assess at the faculty level	Faculty Management Committee	Group
	1.5 Receive assessments at the curriculum and faculty levels	Internal Quality Assurance Committee	5.3.1.3 Order to appoint a committee
	1.6 Present the assessment results at the curriculum and faculty levels to the Executive Board and the University Council for consideration and recommendations	Vice Chancellor/Quality Assurance Working Group	to assess the quality of the curriculum, faculty, and institute levels for the academic year 2023
	1.7 Improve performance Responsible Professor/Curriculum Management Committee  1. In terms of curriculum quality assurance		5.3.1.4 Report on the results of internal quality assessment at the curriculum and faculty level for the
	<ul> <li>There is a quality assura management, with the ap</li> </ul>	pointment of a curriculum	academic year 2023

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
	10	Evidence
	quality assurance committee	50450
	There is a process for evaluating the satisfaction of	5.3.1.5 Report on
	students and teachers in each subject of every	satisfaction results of
	curriculum in every section	research support for
	There is an evaluation of the satisfaction of	faculty for the year
	graduates from every curriculum in order to develop	2023 (library)
	the quality of graduates to be of quality and	F 2 1 4 D
	consistent with the desired graduate characteristics	5.3.1.6 Report on the
	of the institution	summary of student satisfaction assessment
	The satisfaction assessment of research support of	results for teaching and
	faculty is conducted annually, and the curriculum is	learning support for the
	reviewed and improved according to the specified	academic year 2023
	period to ensure that the curriculum is up-to-date	academic year 2025
	and suitable for use.	
	The satisfaction assessment of teaching and	
	learning support services by students is conducted	
	annually.	
	2. In terms of quality assurance at the faculty level	
	and supporting units, the university has established a	
	quality assurance system and mechanism at the	
	faculty level. A faculty committee is appointed to	
	supervise, monitor, and promote the administration	
	of the faculty and various programs to be efficient.	
	The committee is responsible for supervising,	
	monitoring, and overseeing the administration and	
	development of the faculty's education quality	
	assurance system to be in line with the university's	
	educational standards.	
	3. Institutional quality assurance	
	The university has an institutional quality assurance	
	system, with the university's education quality	
	management committee responsible for determining	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
		Evidence
	the development direction and monitoring the	
	implementation of the university's education quality	
	assurance system to be efficient in accordance with	
	the policies and standards of the parent	
	organization.	
	In addition, the university has appointed a working	
	group on university education quality assurance,	
	consisting of executives from faculties and various	
	units of the university. The committee is responsible	
	for developing the university's education quality	
	assurance system, coordinating, and monitoring the	
	implementation of the university and faculty level	
	quality assurance systems to be in line with the	
	university's policies and objectives.	
2. There is a	There is a committee to monitor the operations to	5.3.2.1 Announcement
committee to	ensure compliance with the system specified in	of Shinawatra
monitor operations	Section 1 and report the monitoring results to the	University No. 339/2567
to ensure they are in	institutional committee for consideration. The	on the appointment of
accordance with the	university has a committee to monitor the	the Shinawatra
system specified in	operations of quality assurance as follows:	University Education
Section 1 and report	1. The Shinawatra University Quality Management	Quality Management
the monitoring	Committee	Committee
results to the	is responsible for determining the development	
institutional	direction, supervising and monitoring the	5.3.2.2 Order No
committee for	implementation of the university's education quality	/2567 on the
consideration.	assurance system to ensure efficiency in accordance	appointment of the
	with the policies and standards of the parent	Shinawatra University
	organization and other relevant agencies.	Internal Education
	2. Shinawatra University Education Quality Assurance	Quality Assessment Committee
	Working Group	Committee
	is responsible for developing the university's	5.3.2.3 Order No.
	education quality assurance system, coordinating	183/2566 on the

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary Evidence
	and monitoring the implementation of the quality assurance system at the curriculum, faculty and institutional levels in accordance with the university's policies and objectives.  3. The working group for preparing the implementation results according to the Shinawatra University's internal education quality assurance indicators is responsible for collecting and reporting the institution's performance results in related areas, including submitting data on performance reports, supporting evidence for reporting results according to the specified standards, and carrying out other missions related to education quality assurance as assigned by the university.  The system for supervising, monitoring and reporting the implementation results of quality assurance in various aspects of the university is through meetings and presenting the implementation results of education quality assurance to the Academic Committee and the University Executive Committee, chaired by the university president, to consider the implementation results and provide policies for university-level quality assurance operations.	appointment of a working group to prepare the results of operations according to the Shinawatra University Internal Education Quality Assurance Indicators  5.3.2.4 Order to appoint a committee to assess the quality of the curriculum, faculty, and institution levels for the academic year 2023

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary
Citeriori	renormance nesutis	Evidence
3. Resources are	The university has allocated resources in various	5.3.3.1 Announcement
allocated to support	areas to support quality assurance operations at the	of the University on
the operations of	curriculum, faculty, institute and support units levels,	the Policy on Support
the curriculum and	including:	for Research Funding
faculties to achieve	1. Supporting budgets for research and dissemination	and the Publication of
results according to	of research results for lecturers in the production of	Academic Works
the curriculum and	research results and academic works continuously,	
faculty quality	as stated in the SIU Academic Manual. The university	5.3.3.2 Report
assurance	has announced a policy to promote and support	Summary of Research
components.	lecturers in producing research results in order to	Budget of Shinawatra
	encourage lecturers to produce and disseminate	University for Academic
	research results continuously. It has allocated a	Year 2023
	budget to support research and academic work	5.3.3.3 Report
	production for lecturers in various faculties, which is	Summary of the
	the support received from the university in the	Evaluation of Support
	academic year 2022 in the amount of 3,271,300 baht	for Research Missions
	and an additional budget in the academic year 2023	for the Academic Year
	in the amount of 4,350,605 baht.	2023
	2. Supporting data research in studies, research and	
	teaching, including books, textbooks, various	5.3.3.4 University
	database systems by allocating a budget to purchase	Announcement No.
	books and subscribing to research databases and	035/2018 on the
	research journals and academic journals	Determination of the
	continuously every year.	Salary Rate for
	The university has conducted a survey on lecturers'	Academic Positions
	satisfaction with research support, including	
	satisfaction with facilities or research support, with a	
	score of 3.93, which is at a good level (out of a full	
	score of 5).	
	3. The university supports compensation for	
	lecturers who have been considered for academic	
	positions. There is a university announcement No.	

Criterion	Performance Results	Documentary Evidence
	035/2018 regarding an increase in compensation for	
	academic positions, announced on June 28, 2018,	
	and effective to the present. This is to boost morale	
	for lecturers to produce quality academic work in	
	order to advance to higher academic positions.	
4. Submit the results	The university has submitted the results of quality	5.3.4.1 Agenda for the
of the quality	assessment from all programs and faculties to the	2023 Shinawatra
assessment of all	Executive Committee meeting and the University	University Council (UC)
courses and faculties	Council meeting for consideration and suggestions	meeting
that have passed the	for improving the quality of programs and faculties	
consideration of the	annually in order to use the suggestions as	
institutional	information for planning continuous quality	
committee to the	development. This academic year, the results of the	
institutional council	internal quality assessment of programs and faculties	
for consideration.	for the academic year 2023 were presented to the	
	Executive Committee meeting and to the University	
	Council at the meeting.	
5. Use the evaluation	The university presented the results of the internal	5.3.5.1 Report of the
results and	quality assessment of the curriculum and faculty	University Council
suggestions from the	levels for the academic year 2023 to the meeting of	Meeting
Institute Council to	the Academic Committee, the Executive Committee,	
continuously	and the University Council, respectively. The meeting	5.3.5.2 Framework of
improve the quality	made recommendations for developing the quality	the Educational Quality
of the curriculum	of teaching and learning management, especially the	Development Plan,
and operations of	urgent and tangible development of lecturers for	Curriculum Level and
the faculty.	academic positions. The university has used the	Faculty Level,
		Academic Year

Criterion	Performance Results				Documentary Evidence		
	results of the curriculum and faculty quality						2023
	assessment from	the res	ults of	the qua	ality		
	assessment of edu	ucation	for the	e acade	emic ye	ar 2022	5.3.5.3 Report on the
	and implemented						results of the internal
	to develop the qu					2020	quality assessment at
		Í				ر امریک	the curriculum and
	curriculum and fa	•				•	faculty level for the
	improved standar						academic year 2023
	faculty-level asses			tend t	o impro	ove in	academic year 2025
	every faculty, as f	ollows:					E 2 E 1 Donort on the
							5.3.5.4 Report on the
							meeting of the
							Academic Position
	Faculty		Aca	idemic Y	ear		Consideration
	Faculty	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	Committee (ARAC) No.
	Faculty of	4.42	4.30	4.56	4.54	4.35	1/2023
	Management Faculty of Liberal	4.59	4.47	4.38	4.45	4.38	
	Arts	4.39	4.47	4.50	4.45	4.30	5.3.5.5 Report on the
	Faculty of Nursing	4.51	4.42	4.44	4.44	4.44	meeting of the
	Faculty of	3.91	-	-	-	-	Shinawatra University
	Education						Council UC 2023
	Institute of Arts	3.27	-	-	=	-	
	and Philosophy  Faculty of Public	3.75	_	_	_	_	
	Administration	3.13					
	and Law						
	In addition, the university has a policy to accelerate the improvement of indicator 1.3, the number of permanent lecturers holding academic positions, which is in the criteria that need urgent improvement. There is support for the production of academic works to enter academic positions in a tangible way by creating a development plan for						
	entering academic	positi	ons for	individ	uals an	d	

Criterion		Performance Re	sults	Documentary Evidence
	setting a	budget for the year 202	3 to consider	
	academi	c positions for lecturers	whose names have	
	been not	tified by the academic p	osition	
	considera	ation office of the acade	mic office from the	
	faculty. 7	There is also a project to	develop the	
	,	f academic work produc	·	
		pperations according to t		
		ity of education for the a	·	
	·	op the quality of educat	•	
		m and faculty levels to		
		s and quality continuous		
		3, permanent lecturers h	·	
	•	for academic positions,		
	•	ation by the committee.		
	CONSIGER	Name	Request Position	
	Associate	Professor Dr. Sakon	Professor	
	Phungam	dee		
		of Arts and Philosophy)		
		. Thitaree Srihawet of Nursing)	Assistant Professor	
	<u> </u>	. Dolnacha Isriyaphanan	Assistant Professor	
		of Nursing)		
	Asst. Prof	. Siripatra Chudamani	Assistant Professor	
	<u> </u>	of Nursing)		
		Naces Udang	Assistant Professor Assistant Professor	
	(Liberal A	ranan Somthawinphongsai arts)	Assistant Professor	
	and requ	lest a comparison of aca		
	from the original agency			
		3.15.1.00 0501107	Academic positions	
			requested for	
	Point	Qualified Person	transfer from the	
			original institution to	
	4	Du Comba as The Lite	Shinawatra University	
	1	Dr. Suphanee Tharakul	Assoc. Prof.	

Criterion		Da	erformance	Recu	l+c		Documentary
Citeriori		1 (	errormance	. nesu	(13		Evidence
	2		an Chaiyalap		Assoc. F		
	3	Dr. Piyaph			Assoc. F	Prof.	
	4	Saentawe Dr. Decha	Boonprajak		Assoc. F	Prof.	
	5	Dr. Samro			Asst. P		
		Onsamph	an				
	6	Dr. Suan J	Jenkhao		Asst. P	rof.	
	Review C resolved university lecturers academic a working compens	ommitte to appro has a pi to subm position group o ation sup	of the 1/202: e (ARAC) me ove the trans rocess to co it requests f as. There is a an academic oport for lec- ademic posi	eeting sfer of ontinuition color also the color position countries are set to the color and the color also the	the med position ously end nsideration ne appoir ions and	eting s. The courage on of ntment of	
6. The results of the			 ear 2023, th		versity ha	as	5.3.6.1 Report
quality assessment		_	nal quality		•		summarizing the results
of all courses passed			which has th				of the internal
Component 1:		,	nt at the cur				
Standards	, ,				,		assessment at the
			which all c			·	curriculum level,
supervision.	·		ard criteria fr				academic year 2023
	·		garding stand		·		academic year 2025
	have good quality in 25 curriculums and moderate						
	quality in 2 curriculums.						
	component Performance						
	/Indicators 2023 2022 2021 2020  Component 1: Graduate production						
	1.1 Overall		1	3.45	3.55	3.54	
	curriculum	า					
	managem	ent					
	results						

# Self-evaluation of performance results

Indicator	Unit of	target	Performance	Score
	measurement		Results	obtained
Governance system	Point	5 Point	6 Point	5 score
Quality of courses and				
faculties				

Evaluation again	nst targe	ets	
	$\checkmark$	Achieve goals	Failure to achieve target

# Summary of evaluation results and development directions, Element 5: Management

#### Points for development

1. Use the results of internal quality assessment to develop and improve operations, with continuous monitoring of development results and improvements, especially in the areas of development of teachers into academic positions and production of quality academic work.

#### Evaluation results summary table

component 5			
Indicator	target	Performance Results	Evaluation score
Indicator 5.1	4 score	7 points	5.00 score
Indicator 5.2	3.51 score	4.07 score	4.07 score
Indicator 5.3	4 points (3 score)	6 points	5.00 score
Average component scores 5			4.69 score